



ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2022-0439; FRL-9870-03-R9]

Determination To Defer Sanctions; California; San Diego County Air Pollution Control

District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Interim final determination.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is making an interim final determination that the California Air Resources Board (CARB) has submitted revised rules on behalf of the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (SDCAPCD or District) that correct deficiencies in its Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) state implementation plan (SIP) provisions concerning ozone nonattainment requirements for controlling volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from the transfer of organic compounds into mobile transport tanks and concerning a negative declaration for non-Control Techniques Guidelines (CTG) major VOC sources. This determination is based on a proposed approval, published elsewhere in this ***Federal Register***, of SDCAPCD's Rule 61.2 regulating the above source category and of the negative declaration for non-CTG major VOC sources. The effect of this interim final determination is that the imposition of sanctions that were triggered by a previous disapproval by the EPA in 2020 is now deferred. If the EPA finalizes its approval of the SDCAPCD's submissions, relief from these sanctions will become permanent.

DATES: This rule is effective on [Insert date of publication in the ***Federal Register***].

However, comments will be accepted on or before [Insert date 30 days after date of publication in the ***Federal Register***].

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R09-OAR-2022-0439

at <https://www.regulations.gov>. For comments submitted at Regulations.gov, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e., on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>. If you need assistance in a language other than English or if you are a person with disabilities who needs a reasonable accommodation at no cost to you, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donnique Sherman, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne St., San Francisco, CA 94105. By phone: (415) 947–4129 or by email at sherman.donnique@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to the EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. The EPA’s Evaluation and Action
- III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Background

On December 3, 2020 (85 FR 77996), the EPA issued a final partial approval/partial disapproval for the SDCAPCD's 2008 Eight-Hour Ozone Reasonably Available Control Technology Demonstration for San Diego County (2008 RACT demonstration) that had been submitted by CARB to the EPA for approval. The 2008 RACT demonstration action addressed the SDCAPCD's 2008 ozone standard RACT SIP requirements under the Act. In our 2008 RACT demonstration action, we determined that while the SDCAPCD's SIP revision submittal strengthened the SIP, the submittal did not fully meet the requirements for RACT SIPs under the CAA. Our 2008 RACT demonstration action included a final partial disapproval action under title I, part D of the Act, relating to requirements for nonattainment areas. Pursuant to section 179 of the CAA and our regulations at 40 CFR 52.31, this partial disapproval action under title I, part D started a sanctions clock for imposition of offset sanctions 18 months after the action's effective date of January 4, 2021, and highway sanctions 6 months later.

On December 29, 2020, CARB submitted to the EPA the SDCAPCD's 2020 RACT submittal, which addressed requirements for the 2015 ozone standard, and also included a negative declaration adopted for non-CTG major VOC sources for the 2008 ozone standard. On April 20, 2021, CARB submitted to the EPA an amended Rule 61.2 that included a decrease in the emission limit for bulk terminals to 0.08 pound per 1000 gallons. This negative declaration and revised rule were intended to address the partial disapproval issues under title I, part D that we identified in our 2008 RACT demonstration action. In the Proposed Rules section of this Federal Register, we have proposed approval of the SDCAPCD's 2020 RACT submittal's negative declaration for non-CTG major VOC sources and Rule 61.2. Based on this proposed approval action, we are also taking this interim final determination, effective on publication, to defer imposition of the offset sanctions and highway sanctions that were triggered by our 2008 RACT demonstration action, because we believe that the 2020 RACT submittal's negative declaration for non-CTG major VOC sources and Rule 61.2 correct the deficiencies that triggered such sanctions.

The EPA is providing the public with an opportunity to comment on this deferral of sanctions. If comments are submitted that change our assessment described in this interim final determination and the proposed full approval of the SDCAPCD Rule 61.2 and the negative declaration for non-CTG VOC major sources with respect to the title I, part D deficiencies identified in our 2008 RACT demonstration action, we would take final action to lift this deferral of sanctions under 40 CFR 52.31. If no comments are submitted that change our assessment, then the sanction clocks triggered by our 2008 RACT demonstration action for mobile transport tanks and non-CTG major VOC sources would be permanently terminated on the effective date of our final approval of the SDCAPCD Rule 61.2 and negative declaration for non-CTG VOC major sources.

II. The EPA's Evaluation and Action

We are making an interim final determination to defer CAA section 179 sanctions associated with our partial disapproval on the 2008 RACT demonstration with respect to the requirements of part D of title I of the CAA. This determination is based on our concurrent proposal to approve SDCAPCD's 2020 RACT *Negative Declaration for Non-CTG Major VOC Sources* submittal and Rule 61.2, which resolve the deficiencies that triggered sanctions under section 179 of the CAA.

Because the EPA has preliminarily determined that the 2020 RACT submittal and Rule 61.2 address the deficiencies under part D of title I of the CAA identified in our 2008 RACT demonstration action and is fully approvable, relief from sanctions should be provided as quickly as possible. Therefore, the EPA is invoking the good cause exception under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) in not providing an opportunity for comment before this action takes effect (5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)). However, by this action, the EPA is providing the public with a chance to comment on the EPA's determination after the effective date, and the EPA will consider any comments received in determining whether to reverse such action.

The EPA believes that notice-and-comment rulemaking before the effective date of this action is impracticable and contrary to the public interest. The EPA has reviewed the State's submittals and, through its proposed action, is indicating that it is more likely than not that the State has submitted a revision to the SIP that corrects deficiencies under part D of the Act that were the basis for the action that started the sanctions clocks. Therefore, it is not in the public interest to impose sanctions. The EPA believes that it is necessary to use the interim final rulemaking process to defer sanctions while the EPA completes its rulemaking process on the approvability of the State's submittal. Moreover, with respect to the effective date of this action, the EPA is invoking the good cause exception to the 30-day notice requirement of the APA because the purpose of this notice is to relieve a restriction (5 U.S.C. 553(d)(1)).

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This action defers sanctions and imposes no additional requirements. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks

subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
- Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address disproportionate human health or environmental effects with practical, appropriate, and legally permissible methods under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).
- Is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).
- Is subject to the Congressional Review Act (CRA), 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, and the EPA will submit a rule report to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The CRA allows the issuing agency to make a rule effective sooner than otherwise provided by the CRA if the agency makes a good cause finding that notice and comment rulemaking procedures are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest (5 U.S.C. 808(2)). The EPA has made a good cause finding for this rule as discussed in section II of this preamble, including the basis for that finding.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by **[Insert date 60 days after date of publication in the *Federal Register*]**. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the EPA Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purpose of

judicial review nor does it extend the time within which petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see CAA section 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Oxides of nitrogen, Ozone, Volatile Organic Compounds, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

AUTHORITY: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: **May 31, 2022.**

Martha Guzman Aceves,
Regional Administrator,
Region IX.

[FR Doc. 2022-11972 Filed: 6/2/2022 8:45 am; Publication Date: 6/3/2022]